Whereas, Physician practice viability is an MMS strategic priority; and
Whereas, Physicians are among the nation’s most rigorously trained professionals; and
Whereas, Requirements for maintaining the skills needed to serve their patients vary greatly depending upon patient population and treatments available; and
Whereas, The individual physician rather than nonmedical testing and psychometrics officials within Maintenance of Certification (MOC) is in a better position to determine how best to maintain the needed practice skills\(^1,2\); and
Whereas, Annual externally imposed study requirements enforce conformity rather than encourage the independence of thought, research, and investigational pursuits essential for innovative professional careers and creative medical scientists\(^3,4\); and
Whereas, Physicians prefer independent lifelong learning and collaboration with universities and specialty societies to define medical excellence within their profession rather than MOC test scores\(^5,6\); and
Whereas, Specialty Boards statisticians and test designers have applied an industrial-based modified Angoff Standard for determining the minimum level of subspecialty competence while this standard is known to fail in medicine, science, and clinical issues of high complexity\(^7,8\); and

\(^6\) Mandrola J. “Call Time-Out for the ABIM MOC Mandate.” Medscape Multispecialty April 1, 2014. \texttt{www.medscape.com}. (NOTE: Over 15,000 petition signatures begun at the American College of Cardiology meeting.)
Whereas, Many believe the direct and indirect costs of mandatory recertification are unprecedented in other businesses or health care professions; and

Whereas, High cost MOC programs divert physician funds and require significant physician time commitments away from their practices and patient care services⁹, empowering nonmedical regulators and insurers while disenfranchising patients and physicians¹⁰,¹¹; and

Whereas, In the opinion of some, mandatory recertification reduces patient access to care by encouraging early retirement of physicians who are providing excellent, much needed care; and

Whereas, In the opinion of some, MOC revenues finance generous executive salaries and private, tax-exempt, high revenue professional testing industry and corporate testing monopoly¹²; and

Whereas, Linkage of a physician’s hospital staff privileges solely to MOC recertification violates The Joint Commission (formerly JCAHO) medical staff credentialing recommendations (Section 482.22 a2)¹³; and

Whereas, There is no current Massachusetts Medical Society (MMS) policy calling for opposition to mandatory MOC requirements for physicians and physicians already board-certified; therefore, be it

1. RESOLVED, That the MMS acknowledge that the requirements within the Maintenance of Certification process are costly and time intensive, and they result in significant disruptions to the availability of physicians for patient care; and

2. RESOLVED, That the MMS acknowledge that after initial specialty board certification, the MMS affirms the professionalism of individual physicians to self-determine the best means and methods for maintenance and development of their knowledge and skills; and

3. RESOLVED, That the MMS oppose mandatory Maintenance of Certification as a requirement for licensure, hospital privileges, and reimbursement from third party payers; and

---

4. RESOLVED, That the MMS communicate Maintenance of Certification policies to the AMA, specialty societies, universities, and physician and industry groups involved with independent continuing medical, clinical, and scientific education.
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